

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,406	08/21/2001	George H. Lowell	40646-2000210	1965
25225	7590 06/16/2003			
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 3811 VALLEY CENTRE DRIVE SUITE 500		EXAMI	NER	
			LUCAS, ZAG	CHARIAH
SAN DIEGO	, CA 92130-2332		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648 DATE MAILED: 06/16/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• • •	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/938,406	LOWELL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Zachariah Lucas	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, by within the statutory minimul will apply and will expire SIX e. cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	<u>August 2001</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The	his action is non-final					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims AVM Claim(a) 1.22 is/are pending in the application	.n					
 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-32 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been receive	d.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	, , ,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) lice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				

Application/Control Number: 09/938,406

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1, 2, and 5-18, drawn to vaccine compositions comprising an antigen and an exogenous hydrophobic peptide, classified in class 424, subclass 193.1.
 - II. Claims 1, 3, 4, and 6-18, drawn to vaccine compositions comprising an antigen and an exogenous hydrophobic C8-C18 fatty acyl group, classified in class 424, subclass 193.1.
 - III. Claims 19, and 21-32, drawn to methods of inducing a neutralizing antibody response comprising administering to a subject a vaccine compositions comprising an antigen and an exogenous hydrophobic peptide, classified in class 424, subclass 193.1.
 - IV. Claims 19-32, drawn to drawn to methods of inducing a neutralizing antibody response comprising administering to a subject a vaccine compositions comprising an antigen and an exogenous hydrophobic C8-C18 fatty acyl group, classified in class 424, subclass 193.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. The inventions of Groups I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are

Application/Control Number: 09/938,406

Art Unit: 1648

shown to be separately usable. See MPEP § 806.05(d). In the instant case, each invention has the same separate utility as the combination.

The inventions of Groups I and II are related, respectively, as product and process of use 3. with the inventions of Groups III and IV. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the claimed products may be used either for the induction of antibody production in a subject, or for the detection of antibodies in samples from animals suspected of having an infection.

Conclusion

- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a 4. separate status in art because of recognized divergent subject matter and different classifications, and because the literature and sequence searches required for any one of the groups is not required for the others, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Applicant's attention is hereby directed to the following is a recitation of M.P.E.P. 5. §821.04 regarding the restriction of claims to a product and processes of using the product, Rejoinder:

Where product and process claims drawn to independent and distinct inventions are presented in the same application, applicant may be called upon under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect claims to either the product or process. See MPEP § 806.05(f) and § 806.05(h). The claims to the nonelected invention will be withdrawn from further consideration under 37 CFR 1.142. See MPEP § 809.02(c) and § 821 through § 821.03. However, if applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn

Application/Control Number: 09/938,406

Art Unit: 1648

process claims which depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined.

Where the application as originally filed discloses the product and the process for making and/or using the product, and only claims directed to the product are presented for examination, when a product claim is found allowable, applicant may present claims directed to the process of making and/or using the patentable product by way of amendment pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121. In view of the rejoinder procedure, and in order to expedite prosecution, applicants are encouraged to present such process claims, preferably as dependent claims, in the application at an early stage of prosecution. Process claims which depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116. Process claims which do not depend from or otherwise include the limitations of the patentable product will be withdrawn from consideration, via an election by original presentation (see MPEP § 821.03). Amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312. Process claims which depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed product claim and which meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112 may be entered.

The following is a recitation from paragraph five, "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai*, *In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. §103(b)" (1184 TMOG 86(March 26, 1996)):

"However, in the case of an elected product claim, rejoinder will be permitted when a product claim is found allowable and the withdrawn process claim **depends from or otherwise includes all the limitations of** an allowed product claim. Withdrawn process claims not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined." (emphasis added)

In accordance with M.P.E.P. §821.04 and In re Ochiai, 71 F.3d 1565, 37 USPQ 1127 (Fed. Cir. 1995), rejoinder of product claims with process claims commensurate in scope with the allowed product claims will occur following a finding that the product claims are allowable. Until, such time, a restriction between product claims and process claims is deemed proper. Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to maintain either dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/938,406

Art Unit: 1648

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 6.

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 7.

examiner should be directed to Zachariah Lucas whose telephone number is 703-308-4240. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 703-308-4027. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular

communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Patent Examiner

June 6, 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600